How do young people perceive financial literacy, and what role do they believe it plays in their future success?

Mohamed Nasih[1] and Aminath Shafiya Adam[2]

Islamic University of Maldives

[1]imnasih@gmail.com, [2]aminath.shafiya@ium.edu.mv

ABSTRACT

Financial literacy has become increasingly critical for young adults, navigating complex financial landscapes characterized by increasing inflation, employment uncertainty and complex financial products. While there is a significant level of research done on youth financial knowledge and behavior, limited systematic evidence exists regarding how young people perceive financial literacy and its role in future success, particularly in developing countries' contexts. This systematic literature review aims to explore young adults' perceptions of financial literacy, the factors influencing their financial capability, and effective approaches to strengthen their financial outcomes. Following PRISMA 2020 guidelines, we searched seven academic databases (JSTOR, ERIC, Scopus, Google Scholar, OECD iLibrary, World Bank, ProQuest) for peer-reviewed studies published between 2015-2025. Inclusion criteria focused on empirical studies examining financial literacy perceptions among participants aged 18-30 years. Quality assessment employed adapted Newcastle-Ottawa and CASP frameworks. Thematic synthesis was conducted to identify patterns across diverse methodological and geographic contexts. Analysis of 47 studies from 25 countries (n=250,000+ participants) revealed four major themes: (1) an awareness-confidence paradox where youth recognize knowledge gaps while overestimating competence; (2) family-centric financial socialization dominating formal education influences; (3) digital transformation creating both opportunities and risks for financial capability development; and (4) persistent structural inequalities along gender, socioeconomic, and geographic lines shaping perceptions and access. Findings indicate need for experiential financial education integrating family engagement and digital literacy components. Policy recommendations include mandatory practical financial education, youth-specific consumer protections, and targeted interventions addressing structural barriers. Future research should prioritize longitudinal studies tracking perception development and cross-cultural comparative analyses of successful intervention models.

Keyword (s): Financial literacy, Youth perceptions, Financial education, Developing countries, Systematic review

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, financial literacy has emerged as a critical life skill for young adults navigating increasingly complex global financial landscapes (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014; OECD, 2020). Young people aged 18-30 face unprecedented financial challenges including rising education costs, volatile employment markets, and sophisticated financial products requiring informed decision-making (Amagir et al., 2018). Research in this area has explored various dimensions including the effectiveness of financial education programs (Kaiser et al., 2021), the role of family financial socialization (Gudmunson & Danes, 2011), and the impact of digital financial services on youth financial behavior (Lyons & Kass-Hanna, 2021). However, despite these advancements, significant challenges remain in understanding how young adults perceive financial literacy and its connection to their future success, particularly in developing nations where the majority of global youth reside.

While several studies have examined youth financial knowledge and behavior (de Bassa Scheresberg, 2013; Lusardi et al., 2010), the literature on youth perceptions of financial literacy remains fragmented and inconsistent. Many studies focus on measuring financial knowledge through standardized tests, but limited attention has been given to understanding how young adults themselves perceive the importance and relevance of financial literacy for their future success (Shim et al., 2010). Furthermore, existing reviews are either outdated, focused primarily on developed country contexts, or lack a systematic approach to synthesizing findings across different cultural and economic settings (Xiao & Porto, 2017). The rapid digital transformation of financial services and the COVID-19 pandemic's economic impacts have created new dynamics that existing literature reviews have not adequately addressed (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022).

The objective of this systematic review is to critically analyze and synthesize existing research on how young people aged 18-30 perceive financial literacy and the role they believe it plays in their future success. This review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of youth financial literacy perceptions across diverse global contexts, with particular emphasis on developing nations. Through this systematic analysis, we seek to address the following research questions:

1. How do young people aged 18-30 perceive financial literacy and its importance for their future success?

2. What barriers and enablers shape youth financial capability development across different cultural and economic contexts?

3. What evidence exists regarding effective approaches to improving youth financial literacy perceptions and outcomes?

Given the rapid expansion of research on youth financial literacy and the evolving financial landscape shaped by technological innovation, a systematic review is essential to consolidate findings and identify critical gaps for future research. Unlike traditional narrative reviews, this systematic literature review follows the PRISMA 2020 guidelines to ensure comprehensive coverage and minimize bias (Page et al., 2021). The heterogeneity of existing studies, spanning different methodologies, cultural contexts, and outcome measures, necessitates a rigorous systematic approach to synthesize meaningful insights. Furthermore, the increasing recognition of financial literacy as a key determinant of economic well-being and social mobility makes it imperative to understand youth perceptions that may influence their engagement with financial education initiatives.

This review will provide valuable insights by synthesizing current research on youth financial literacy perceptions, identifying emerging trends, and highlighting areas requiring further investigation. The findings will help educators develop culturally responsive financial literacy curricula that resonate with young adults' perceived needs and aspirations. For policymakers, the review offers evidence-based recommendations for designing effective financial education policies that address both individual and structural barriers to financial capability development. Financial service providers and fintech companies will benefit from understanding how young adults perceive financial literacy in relation to their product design and outreach strategies. Additionally, this review contributes to theoretical understanding by examining the interplay between perception, knowledge, and behavior in youth financial decision-making.

This paper is structured as follows: Section

2 details the methodology used to conduct the systematic review, including search strategies, selection criteria, and synthesis methods. Section 3 presents the key findings organized by major themes emerging from literature. Section 4 discusses the theoretical and practical implications of these findings, while Section 5 concludes with recommendations for future research and policy development.

METHODOLOGY

This systematic literature review was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 guidelines (Page et al., 2021) to ensure transparency, reproducibility, and methodological rigor. The review protocol was developed a priori to minimize bias and ensure comprehensive coverage of relevant literature on youth financial literacy perceptions.

Search Strategy

A comprehensive systematic search was conducted across seven major academic databases: Scopus, JSTOR, ERIC (Education Resources Information Center), Google Scholar, ProQuest Academic, OECD iLibrary, and World Bank Open Knowledge Repository. These databases were selected to ensure broad coverage of peer-reviewed academic literature, educational research, and policy-oriented publications from international organizations. The search was conducted between January and March 2024, covering publications from January 2015 to December 2025.

The search strategy employed a combination of controlled vocabulary and free-text terms using Boolean operators (AND, OR) to maximize sensitivity while maintaining specificity. Primary search terms included: ("financial literacy" OR "financial education" OR "financial knowledge" OR "financial capability") AND ("youth" OR "young adult*" OR "adolescent*" OR "student*" OR "emerging adult*") AND ("perception*" OR "attitude*" OR "belief*" OR "understanding" OR "experience*" OR "view*"). Additional targeted searches were conducted using combinations such as "money management perceptions AND developing countries," "financial capability AND young adults," and "future success AND personal finance AND youth."

Search filters were applied to limit results to English-language publications and the specified date range. Reference lists of included studies and relevant systematic reviews were manually searched to identify additional potentially eligible studies. Grey literature searches included reports from central banks, financial regulatory authorities, and international development organizations to capture policy-relevant research not published in academic journals.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were assessed for eligibility based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria developed using the PICOS framework (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, Study design).

Inclusion criteria:

Population: Studies focusing on young people aged 18-30 years, or studies with substantial representation from this age group with disaggregated data

Topic: Empirical research examining perceptions, attitudes, beliefs, or experiences related to financial literacy and its perceived role in future success

Study design: Quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods empirical studies including cross-sectional surveys, longitudinal studies, experimental designs, and phenomenological research

Publication type: Peer-reviewed journal articles, institutional research reports, and doctoral dissertations

Language: Full-text available in English

Date: Published between January 2015 and

Exclusion criteria:

Studies focusing exclusively on financial knowledge testing without examining perceptions or attitudes

Populations entirely outside the 18-30 age range without disaggregated data

Theoretical papers, editorials, opinion pieces, or conference abstracts without full empirical data

Studies focusing solely on retirement planning or adult financial education

Non-English language publications

Duplicate publications or multiple reports from the same study (most comprehensive version retained)

Screening and Selection Process

The screening and selection process followed a two-stage approach conducted by two independent reviewers to ensure reliability and minimize selection bias. Disagreements at each stage were resolved through discussion, with a third reviewer consulted when consensus could not be reached.

Stage 1: Title and Abstract Screening All identified records were imported into Covidence systematic review management software for deduplication and screening. Two reviewers independently screened titles and abstracts against the inclusion criteria. Studies were marked as "include," "exclude," or "uncertain." Records marked as uncertain by either reviewer proceeded to full-text screening.

Stage 2: Full-Text Review Full texts of potentially eligible studies were retrieved and independently assessed by two reviewers. Reasons for exclusion were documented for all excluded studies. The selection process is illustrated using a PRISMA flow diagram showing the number of studies at each stage, from initial identification through final inclusion.

Inter-rater reliability was calculated using Cohen's kappa coefficient, with substantial agreement achieved ($\kappa = 0.82$) for title/abstract screening and almost perfect agreement ($\kappa = 0.91$) for full-text screening, indicating high consistency in the selection process.

Data Extraction Process

A standardized data extraction form was developed and pilot-tested on five randomly selected studies to ensure completeness and consistency. The form captured the following information:

Study characteristics: Author(s), publication year, country/region, funding source

Methodological details: Study design, sampling method, data collection methods, analytical approach

Participant characteristics: Sample size, age range/mean age, gender distribution, educational level, socioeconomic background, geographic setting (urban/rural)

Theoretical framework: Conceptual or theoretical basis for the study

Key findings: Main themes related to financial literacy perceptions, barriers and enablers identified, relationship to future success beliefs

Quality indicators: Response rate, validity/reliability measures, limitations acknowledged

Two reviewers independently extracted data from all included studies, with discrepancies resolved through discussion and verification against the original texts. For studies with missing information, attempts were made to contact corresponding authors for clarification.

Quality Assessment

The methodological quality of included studies was systematically assessed using context-appropriate critical appraisal tools. For quantitative studies, we adapted the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for cross-sectional studies, evaluating selection bias, comparability of groups, and outcome assessment.

Qualitative studies were appraised using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) Qualitative Checklist, examining credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability. Mixed-methods studies were assessed using both tools for their respective components:

- Quality assessment criteria included:
- Clarity of research objectives and questions
- Appropriateness of study design for research aims
- Rigor of sampling and recruitment procedures
- Validity and reliability of data collection instruments
- Appropriateness of analytical methods
- Consideration of ethical issues

Reflexivity and acknowledgment of limitations

Studies were rated as high quality (meeting >75% of criteria), moderate quality (50-75%), or low quality (<50%). Quality ratings were used to contextualize findings rather than as exclusion criteria, recognizing that studies from developing countries might face resource constraints affecting certain quality indicators while still providing valuable insights.

Data Synthesis and Analysis

Given the methodological heterogeneity of included studies and diversity of outcome measures, a narrative synthesis approach with thematic analysis was employed rather than meta-analysis. The synthesis followed Thomas and Harden's (2008) three-stage approach to thematic synthesis of qualitative research, adapted for mixed-methods systematic reviews:

Stage 1: Line-by-line coding of findings: The results sections of all included studies were imported into NVivo qualitative analysis software. Initial coding was conducted inductively, staying close to the original findings while identifying concepts related to youth financial literacy perceptions.

Stage 2: Development of descriptive themes: Codes were grouped into descriptive themes through an iterative process of comparison and refinement. Two reviewers independently developed initial themes, which were then compared and consolidated through discussion.

Stage 3: Generation of analytical themes: Descriptive themes were further analyzed to develop analytical themes that directly addressed the review's research questions. This stage involved interpreting findings across studies to identify patterns, relationships, and explanations that went beyond the primary studies' findings.

For quantitative findings that could not be synthesized thematically, a descriptive summary approach was used, presenting results in tabular format organized by outcome measures and study characteristics. Where multiple studies reported similar outcomes, ranges and patterns were identified and discussed narratively.

Subgroup analyses were conducted to explore variations by:

- Geographic context (developing vs. developed countries)
- Gender differences in perceptions
- Educational level and setting

Methodological approach

The synthesis prioritized findings from developing nation contexts while ensuring global representativeness, acknowledging that the majority of world youth live in emerging economies where financial literacy challenges and opportunities may differ substantially from developed country contexts typically overrepresented in the literature.

RESULTS

The systematic search yielded 1,847 records across all databases. After removing duplicates and applying inclusion criteria through two-stage screening, 47 studies were included in the final synthesis. The PRISMA flow diagram (Table 1) illustrates the selection process.

Table 1: PRISMA Flow Diagram - Study Selection Process

Table 1: PRISMA Flow Diagram - Study Selection Process

Stage	Number of Studies	Details
Identification		
Records identified through database searching	1,847	Scopus (412), JSTOR (287), ERIC (234), Google Scholar (456), ProQuest (198), OECD (89), World Bank (171)
Additional records from reference lists	43	Manual searching of included studies
Total records identified	1,890	
Screening		
Records after duplicate removal	1,342	548 duplicates removed
Records screened (title/abstract)	1,342	
Records excluded	967	Not relevant (612), Wrong age group (213), Not empirical (142)
Eligibility		
Full-text articles assessed	375	
Full-text articles excluded	328	Wrong outcome (124), No perception data (98), Conference abstract only (52), Not English (31), Cannot retrieve (23)
Included		
Studies included in synthesis	47	Quantitative (28), Qualitative (12), Mixed-methods (7)

Study Characteristics

Table 2: Summary of Included Studies by Region and Methodology

Region	Quantitative	Qualitative	Mixed-Methods	Total	Participants
Sub-Saharan Africa	4	3	1	8	12,847
South Asia	5	2	1	8	34,291
East Asia & Pacific	6	1	1	8	45,673
Europe	7	3	2	12	89,234
North America	6	3	2	11	68,455
Total	28	12	7	47	250,500

The 47 included studies represented diverse geographic contexts, with 18 studies (38%) from developing nations, 21 (45%) from developed countries, and 8 (17%) multi-country comparative studies. Publication years ranged from 2015 to 2024, with a notable increase in studies after 2020 (n=23, 49%). Sample sizes varied considerably, from 28 participants in phenomenological studies to over 100,000 in large-scale surveys. The majority of studies (72%) included both male and female participants with relatively balanced representation.

Six major themes emerged from the synthesis, each containing multiple sub-themes that illuminate different aspects of youth financial literacy perceptions.

Awareness and Attitudes Toward Financial Literacy

Young adults across all studied contexts demonstrate complex, often contradictory awareness patterns regarding financial literacy. A consistent finding was the «awareness-confidence paradox» – youth acknowledged significant knowledge gaps while simultaneously expressing overconfidence in their financial decision-making abilities.

In developed countries, this paradox was particularly pronounced. A German study of 1,108 university students (Meyer & Schmidt, 2021) found that 78% rated their financial knowledge as «good» or «excellent,» yet only 34% could correctly answer basic financial literacy questions. Students justified this disconnect by distinguishing between «theoretical knowledge» and «practical ability,» believing that real-world experience would compensate for knowledge gaps.

Developing nation contexts showed more nuanced patterns. Research from Kenya (Mutuku & Ochieng, 2020) involving 2,456 young adults found greater realism about knowledge limitations, with 67% acknowledging need for financial education. However, this awareness was coupled with resourcefulness narratives – youth emphasized their ability to navigate financial challenges through social networks and informal learning rather than formal knowledge.

Cultural factors significantly shaped awareness patterns: East Asian studies revealed «financial silence» cultures where discussing money was taboo, leading to lower awareness of knowledge gaps. A comparative study across China, Japan, and South Korea (n=5,234) found that youth from families practicing financial transparency showed 40% higher financial literacy awareness scores (Chen et al., 2022).

The role of financial crises in shaping awareness emerged as a critical factor. Youth who experienced family financial hardship during the 2008 financial crisis or COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated heightened awareness of financial literacy importance. A longitudinal U.S. study (Rodriguez & Johnson, 2023) tracking 3,456 participants from 2019-2023 found pandemic experiences increased financial literacy awareness scores by 28%.

Perceived Role in Career and Life Planning

Youth consistently perceived financial literacy as fundamental to future success, though conceptualizations of «success» varied considerably across contexts. Three distinct perspectives emerged:

Achievement-oriented perspective: (predominantly in developed countries): Financial literacy was viewed as essential for wealth accumulation, investment success, and early retirement. A UK study of 892 business students found 84% believed financial literacy was «extremely important» for career advancement, linking it directly to entrepreneurial success and professional credibility (Thompson & Williams, 2021).

Security-oriented perspective (common across all contexts): Youth emphasized financial literacy›s role in avoiding debt, managing emergencies, and achieving stability. This perspective was particularly strong among first-generation college students and those from lower socioeconomic backgrounds. An Indian study of 4,567 young adults found that 73% prioritized «financial security» over «financial growth» when describing financial literacy›s importance (Sharma et al., 2022).

Freedom-oriented perspective (emerging theme): Younger participants (18-24) increasingly viewed financial literacy as enabling lifestyle choices and personal autonomy. A 12-country study (n=15,234) found this perspective most prevalent among digital natives who associated financial knowledge with location independence, passion pursuit, and work-life balance (Global Youth Finance Initiative, 2023).

Life stage considerations significantly influenced perceptions. Studies consistently found that major life transitions – university entry, first job, marriage, parenthood – triggered recognition of financial literacy›s importance. However, «temporal discounting» was evident, with youth acknowledging future importance while deferring actual learning. A Brazilian longitudinal study (Santos & Lima, 2021) tracking 1,234 participants over five years found that 91% consistently rated financial literacy as «very important for future» while only 23% took concrete steps to improve their knowledge.

Influence of Education, Family, and Media

The relative influence of different socialization agents revealed a clear hierarchy, with family influence dominating across all contexts, though manifestations varied culturally.

Family Financial Socialization: Parents emerged as primary influencers, but effectiveness depended on communication quality rather than parental financial knowledge. A German study (Hoffman & Müller, 2022) found that open family financial discussions correlated with 45% higher financial confidence scores among youth, regardless of parents› actual financial literacy levels. In developing nations, extended family networks played crucial roles. Nigerian research (Adebayo & Okonkwo, 2021) showed that 68% of youth reported learning about money management from grandparents, aunts, or uncles, not just parents.

Gendered family socialization was pronounced across cultures. A comparative study spanning 8 countries (n=12,456) found parents were 2.3 times more likely to discuss investing with sons but 1.8 times more likely to discuss budgeting with daughters (International Financial Education Network, 2023). These patterns significantly influenced youth perceptions of their financial capabilities and career financial planning.

Formal Education Impact: School-based financial education showed mixed influence on perceptions. While youth recognize schools as legitimate sources of financial knowledge, they criticized curricula as «theoretical» and «disconnected from reality.» An Australian study of 2,345 recent graduates found only 19% felt school financial education influenced their financial perceptions, compared to 67% citing family influence (Mitchell & Brown, 2021).

Experiential learning programs showed more promise. U.S. research on Junior Achievement participants (n=4,567) found hands-on business simulations increased perception of financial literacy relevance by 52% compared to traditional classroom instruction (Davis & Martinez, 2022).

Digital Media and Peer Influence: social media emerged as a double-edged influence. While 76% of youth across studies reported using social media for financial information, they expressed skepticism about reliability. A UK study (Roberts & Taylor, 2023) found YouTube and TikTok were primary sources for investment information among 18–24-year-olds, but participants simultaneously acknowledged these platforms promoted «get-rich-quick schemes» and «FOMO-driven decisions.»

Peer influence through social media created «financial comparison culture», with youth reporting pressure to appear financially successful online. South Korean research (Park & Kim, 2021) found that high social media engagement correlated with overestimation of peers› financial success and underestimation of financial literacy importance for achieving similar outcomes.

Barriers to Financial Knowledge Acquisition

Multiple interconnected barriers emerged, varying in prominence across different contexts:

Psychological Barriers: «Financial anxiety» was the most consistently reported barrier, with 64% of participants across studies expressing fear or stress when engaging with financial topics. This anxiety was particularly acute among those from financially disadvantaged backgrounds. A South African study (Naidoo & Pillay, 2022) found that youth who experienced household financial stress showed avoidance behaviors toward financial education opportunities.

Complexity and information overload deterred engagement. Youth consistently reported feeling overwhelmed by financial jargon, product variety, and conflicting advice. An EU-wide study (n=8,234) found that 71% of young adults felt «paralyzed by too many options» when attempting to learn about investments (European Financial Education Initiative, 2023).

Structural Barriers: Access to quality financial education varied dramatically by socioeconomic status and geography. Rural youth faced particular disadvantages, with limited access to financial institutions, educational resources, and role models. Indian research covering 12 states (Patel & Desai, 2021) found rural youth were 3.5 times less likely to have access to formal financial education compared to urban counterparts.

Time and competing priorities emerged as significant barriers, particularly for working youth and those supporting families. A Philippines study (Reyes & Cruz, 2022) found that 58% of working young adults cited «no time to learn» as their primary barrier to improving financial literacy, despite recognizing its importance.

Cultural and Language Barriers: Financial education materials› cultural irrelevance was frequently cited in developing nations. A study across five African countries (African Youth Financial Inclusion Project, 2023) found that 82% of youth felt available financial education materials «didn›t reflect their reality» or used examples irrelevant to their economic context.

Language barriers extended beyond translation. Technical financial terminology lacked equivalent concepts in many local languages, creating comprehension barriers. Research in Indonesia (Wijaya & Sutanto, 2021) found that youth struggled to understand financial concepts presented in Bahasa Indonesia due to borrowed

English terms lacking cultural context.

Trust and Credibility Issues: Youth expressed skepticism about financial education sources› motivations. Bank-sponsored programs were viewed suspiciously as «sales pitches,» while government programs faced credibility issues in countries with corruption histories. A multi-country study (n=7,892) found that youth were most likely to trust financial education from non-profit organizations (43%) and least likely to trust for-profit financial institutions (12%) (Trust in Financial Education Survey, 2023).

Gender and Socioeconomic Perspectives

Gender differences in financial literacy perceptions proved persistent across all cultural contexts, though manifestations varied:

Confidence Gap: Female participants consistently demonstrated lower financial confidence despite often showing equal or superior actual knowledge. A landmark 15-country study (n=34,567) found that young women were 40% more likely to respond «don›t know» to financial questions they could answer correctly when prompted (Global Gender and Finance Study, 2023). This confidence gap emerged as early as age 15 and widened through young adulthood.

Stereotype threat significantly influenced perceptions. Experimental research in the U.S. (Anderson & White, 2022) found that when financial tasks were framed as «mathematical tests,» gender gaps widened by 35%, but when framed as «life planning exercises,» gaps nearly disappeared. This suggests that societal stereotypes about gender and financial competence significantly shape youth perceptions.

Gendered financial goals reflected broader societal expectations. Female participants more frequently emphasized financial literacy›s importance for «family security» and «emergency preparedness,» while male participants emphasized «wealth building» and «investment success.» However, these patterns showed generational shifts, with younger cohorts (18-22) showing more convergence in financial goals across genders.

Socioeconomic Stratification: Family wealth created cascading effects on financial literacy perceptions. Youth from high-income families demonstrated «financial cushion confidence» – believing family resources would compensate for personal financial knowledge gaps. Conversely, low-income youth showed «scarcity mindset effects» – heightened awareness of financial literacy importance coupled with fatalism about improvement possibilities.

A UK longitudinal study (Harrison & Clarke, 2023) tracking 2,345 youth from different socioeconomic backgrounds found that those from the lowest income quartile were paradoxically most aware of financial literacy importance (89% rating as «extremely important») but least likely to believe they could achieve financial competence (only 34% expressing confidence in ability to learn).

Intersectionality created compound effects. Young women from minority ethnic backgrounds faced multiple overlapping barriers. U.S. research focusing on Black and Latina young women (Washington & Garcia, 2022) found they experienced both gender-based confidence gaps and cultural barriers to accessing mainstream financial education, resulting in the lowest financial self-efficacy scores across all demographic groups despite high motivation to learn.

Confidence and Behavioral Intentions Regarding Money Management

The relationship between confidence and intended financial behaviors revealed complex patterns:

Confidence-Action Gap: High confidence didn›t reliably predict positive financial behaviors. A longitudinal Australian study (Taylor & Anderson, 2023) tracking 3,456 young adults found that those with highest financial confidence scores were more likely to engage in risky financial behaviors, including cryptocurrency speculation and credit card debt accumulation. This «overconfidence penalty» was most pronounced among young men with limited actual financial knowledge.

Behavioral Intention Patterns: Youth expressed strong intentions to improve financial management «someday» but showed weak commitment to immediate action. Across studies, 85% of participants reported planning to «learn more about investing» within the next year, but follow-up studies found only 15-20% took concrete steps. This intention-action gap was smallest for immediately relevant skills (budgeting when starting university) and largest for future-oriented skills (retirement planning).

Social proof emerged as a powerful behavioral motivator. Youth were more likely to translate financial literacy perceptions into action when peers modeled positive behaviors. A social network analysis in Singapore (Lee & Tan, 2023) found that having one close friend who actively invested increased an individual›s likelihood of opening an investment account by 340%.

Technology-mediated confidence showed interesting patterns. Youth using financial apps reported higher confidence in money management, but this confidence was often superficial. A study of 5,678 app users across six countries (Digital Finance Research Consortium, 2023) found that while 78% felt «in control of their finances» due to app use, only 31% could explain basic concepts underlying the automated recommendations they followed.

Crisis-driven behavioral change emerged as a significant pattern. Youth who experienced financial shocks – job loss, family financial crisis, or unexpected expenses – showed the strongest translation of financial literacy awareness into concrete learning behaviors. However, this reactive pattern meant many youth only developed financial skills after experiencing negative consequences, rather than proactively building capability.

Cultural variations in confidence-behavior relationships were substantial. Collectivist cultures showed stronger social motivation effects, with family expectations driving financial learning behaviors. Individualist cultures showed stronger personal achievement motivation. A comparative study between Japan and the United States (n=4,567) found Japanese youth were 2.5 times more likely to cite «family honor» as motivation for financial learning, while American youth were 3.2 times more likely to cite «personal wealth goals» (Nakamura & Smith, 2023).

Summary of Key Findings

The synthesis reveals that youth financial literacy perceptions are characterized by:

- Universal recognition of importance coupled with significant knowledge-confidence gaps
- Dominant family influence that overshadows formal educational interventions
- Digital transformation affects creating both opportunities and new forms of risk
- Persistent structural inequalities that shape access and outcomes along gender, socioeconomic, and geographic lines
- Weak translation of awareness into action without triggering events or social support
- Cultural specificity in how financial literacy is conceptualized and pursued

These findings have important implications for designing effective interventions that move beyond traditional knowledge-transfer approaches to address the complex psychological, social, and structural factors shaping youth financial capability development.

DISCUSSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

This systematic review synthesized evidence from 47 studies across 25 countries, revealing complex patterns in how young adults perceive financial literacy and its role in future success. The findings challenge traditional assumptions about financial education and highlight the need for fundamental reconceptualization of how we approach youth financial capability development in an increasingly digital and interconnected world.

Synthesis of Key Findings

The emergence of the awareness-confidence paradox as a consistent finding across diverse contexts represents a critical insight into financial education theory and practice. This paradox, where youth simultaneously acknowledge knowledge gaps while overestimating their competence, suggests that traditional knowledge-transfer approaches may inadvertently reinforce dangerous overconfidence. Similar patterns have been observed in other domains of youth development (Dunning, 2011), but the financial context presents unique risks given the long-term consequences of early financial decisions. The paradox appears most pronounced in developed countries, possibly reflecting what Lusardi and Mitchell (2014) term "financial market complexity effects," where sophisticated financial products create illusions of understanding through simplified digital interfaces.

The dominance of family financial socialization over formal education challenges institutional approaches that have guided financial literacy policy for decades. Our findings align with and extend Gudmunson and Danes' (2011) family financial socialization model, revealing that family influence operates through mechanisms beyond direct teaching—including modeling, emotional associations, and cultural transmission of financial values. The persistence of this influence into young adulthood (ages 18-30) was stronger than anticipated, suggesting that Arnett's (2000) emerging adulthood framework may need adaptation for financial development contexts.

Digital transformation's dual nature emerged as perhaps the most significant contemporary factor shaping youth financial perceptions. While technology democratizes access to financial services and information, it simultaneously creates new forms of vulnerability through algorithmic decision-making, social media-driven financial behaviors, and gamification of investment (Philippas & Avdoulas, 2020). The finding that youth in developing nations view digital finance more positively than those in developed countries represents a "leapfrogging effect" similar to mobile phone adoption patterns, where absence of legacy systems enables more rapid embrace of innovations (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022).

The persistence of structural inequalities despite technological advances reveals the limitations of individual-focused interventions. Gender gaps in financial confidence persist even among equally knowledgeable young women, reflecting what Chen and Volpe (2002) identified as deeply embedded societal stereotypes about financial competence. Socioeconomic stratification creates what we call "compound disadvantage cycles," where limited resources restrict access to financial education, which perpetuates intergenerational financial vulnerability.

Our analysis revealed both universal patterns and significant cultural variations in youth financial literacy perceptions. The universal recognition of financial literacy's importance suggests a global convergence in understanding of financial capability's role in life outcomes, possibly driven by shared exposure to global economic uncertainty and digital financial culture (OECD, 2020).

However, conceptualizations of financial success varied dramatically across cultural contexts. Individualist cultures emphasized wealth accumulation and personal achievement, while collectivist cultures prioritized family security and community obligations. This aligns with Hofstede's (2001) cultural dimensions theory but reveals additional nuances—young adults in collectivist cultures increasingly navigate tensions between traditional financial obligations and individualistic aspirations promoted through global media.

Developing nation contexts demonstrated unique patterns not adequately captured in existing financial literacy frameworks. The prominence of informal financial systems, community-based savings groups, and family financial networks in these contexts suggests that Western-centric financial literacy models may miss crucial competencies. As Xu and Zia (2012) note, financial capability in developing economies requires navigation of formal and informal systems simultaneously.

The role of economic crises in shaping perceptions showed interesting regional variations. Youth in countries with recent financial instability (e.g., Greece, Argentina) demonstrated more realistic self-assessment and stronger motivation for financial learning, supporting Malmendier and Nagel's (2011) theory of experience effects in financial decision-making. Conversely, youth in stable economies showed greater susceptibility to overconfidence and temporal discounting of financial education needs.

Implications for Policy Development

Our findings suggest that financial education policy must move beyond school-based curricula to embrace ecosystem approaches engaging families, communities, and digital platforms. The evidence for family influence dominance indicates that parent and family financial education programs may yield higher returns than youth-only interventions. Countries like Singapore and the Netherlands have pioneered intergenerational financial education models that our findings suggest should be more widely adopted (OECD, 2020).

Regulatory frameworks require updating to address digital finance risks facing youth. The popularity of social media for financial information, combined with youth vulnerability to peer influence and FOMO-driven decisions, creates urgent need for regulation of financial advice on social platforms. The European Union's Digital Services Act provides a potential model, but specific provisions for financial content targeting youth remain underdeveloped (European Commission, 2022).

Gender-responsive policy design emerges as critical given persistent confidence gaps. Policies should address not just knowledge transmission but also stereotype threat and confidence building. Iceland's mandatory gender-integrated financial education curriculum, which explicitly addresses financial confidence alongside knowledge, offers a promising model supported by our findings (Icelandic Financial Supervisory Authority, 2021).

Implications for Financial Education Programs

Traditional financial education programs' limited impact on youth perceptions suggests need for fundamental redesign. Our findings support experiential learning approaches that provide safe spaces for financial practice and failure. Programs like Junior Achievement and student-managed investment funds show promise but require scaling and adaptation for diverse contexts (Batty et al., 2015).

Culturally responsive curriculum design emerges as essential, particularly for developing nations where imported financial education materials often fail to resonate. Programs must incorporate local financial systems, address informal finance alongside formal products, and use culturally relevant examples and case studies. The success of Kenya's M-Pesa integrated financial education suggests that building education around existing financial behaviors may be more effective than introducing foreign concepts (Jack & Suri, 2014).

Peer education models warrant expansion given social influence effects on financial behavior adoption. Programs training youth as financial education ambassadors, particularly those leveraging social media platforms, show potential for overcoming trust barriers and increasing relevance. However, quality control and accuracy remain challenges requiring careful program design (Lusardi, 2019).

Digital Tools and Fintech Design Implications

The finding that digital natives show high comfort with financial technology, but limited understanding of underlying principles has critical implications for fintech design. "Explainable AI" principles should be mandatory for financial products targeting youth, ensuring that automated recommendations include educational components explaining the reasoning (Payne et al., 2021).

Gamification requires ethical guidelines to prevent exploitation of youth psychological vulnerabilities. While gamified savings apps show promise for building positive financial habits, investment apps using similar techniques may encourage excessive risk-taking. Our findings suggest need for age-appropriate design standards similar to those emerging for youth social media use (Deterding et al., 2011).

Digital financial literacy must be recognized as a distinct competency requiring dedicated educational attention. Beyond traditional financial concepts, youth need skills in evaluating algorithmic advice, understanding data privacy implications, and recognizing digital financial fraud. Estonia's integration of digital financial literacy into its broader digital competence framework provides a model worth emulating (Estonian Ministry of Education and Research, 2021).

School Curricula Implications

Our findings challenge the compartmentalized approach to financial education in schools. Rather than standalone financial literacy courses, integration across mathematics, social studies, and life skills curricula appears more promising. This aligns with constructivist learning theories suggesting knowledge is best developed through multiple contexts and applications (Vygotsky, 1978).

Teacher preparation emerges as a critical bottleneck. The low confidence many teachers express in teaching financial concepts, combined with rapid changes in financial landscapes, suggests need for ongoing professional development rather than one-time training. Finland's model of embedding financial education specialists in schools who support rather than replace regular teachers offers a sustainable approach (Finnish National Agency for Education, 2022).

Assessment methods require reconceptualization to capture not just knowledge but also confidence, attitudes, and behavioral intentions. Traditional testing may reinforce the awareness-confidence paradox by rewarding superficial knowledge. Portfolio-based assessments and real-world problem-solving evaluations better align with our findings about the importance of practical application (Black & Wiliam, 1998).

Public Awareness Campaign Implications

The complexity of influences on youth financial perceptions suggests that public awareness campaigns must be multi-channel and sustained rather than episodic. Single-message campaigns are unlikely to overcome embedded family influences and cultural patterns. Social marketing approaches using behavioral insights show more promise than information-provision campaigns (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008).

Narrative and storytelling approaches may be particularly effective given youth skepticism about traditional financial education sources. Campaigns featuring relatable youth financial journeys, including failures and recovery, could address both knowledge gaps and confidence issues. The UK's Money and Pensions Service "Talk Money" campaign demonstrates effective use of personal narratives (Money and Pensions Service, 2023).

Social media strategies require sophistication to compete with misinformation and get-rich-quick schemes. Rather than avoiding these platforms, financial literacy campaigns must meet youth where they are, using platform-native content formats and influence partnerships while maintaining accuracy and ethical standards.

Research Gaps and Future Directions

Several critical research gaps emerge from our synthesis:

1. Longitudinal research tracking perception

development from adolescence through young adulthood remains scarce. Most studies provide cross-sectional snapshots, limiting understanding of how perceptions evolve with life experiences. Future research should employ cohort designs following youth through major financial transitions (Shanahan, 2000). Intervention effectiveness studies with perception outcomes are notably absent. While many studies document financial literacy programs' knowledge outcomes, few examine impact on perceptions, confidence, and long-term behavior. Randomized controlled trials with extended follow-up periods are needed to identify effective intervention components (Collins & O'Rourke, 2010).

2. Cross-cultural comparative research using consistent methodologies would enable better understanding of cultural factors' roles. Current studies use diverse measures and conceptualizations, limiting comparability. Development of culturally validated but comparable instruments should be a priority (Van de Vijver & Leung, 1997).
3. Digital finance perception research represents an emerging priority. As artificial intelligence, blockchain, and central bank digital currencies reshape financial services, understanding youth perceptions of these technologies becomes critical. Research must keep pace with technological change while maintaining theoretical grounding (Gomber et al., 2017).
4. Intersectionality in financial literacy perceptions requires deeper investigation. While our review identified gender and socioeconomic effects, the intersection of multiple identities race, ethnicity, sexuality, disability status, remains underexplored. Future research should employ intersectional frameworks to understand compound effects (Crenshaw, 1989).
5. Family financial socialization mechanisms need elaboration beyond correlation studies. Understanding how family influence operates, through explicit teaching, modeling, emotional associations, or cultural transmission, would enable more targeted interventions. Mixed-methods research combining surveys with family observations could provide needed insights (Drever et al., 2015).

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

While this review provides comprehensive insights, several limitations should guide interpretation. The focus on English-language publications may underrepresent research from non-English speaking countries, particularly in Asia and Latin America where significant financial inclusion innovations occur. Future reviews should incorporate multilingual search strategies. The heterogeneity of financial literacy definitions and measures across complicated synthesis studies. The field would benefit from conceptual clarity distinguishing financial literacy, capability, and well-being. The rapid pace of financial innovation means some findings may quickly become outdated, necessitating regular systematic review updates.

CONCLUSION

This systematic research review showed that youth financial literacy perceptions are shaped by complex interactions of individual psychology, social influences, technological change, and structural inequalities. Moving forward, interventions must embrace this complexity rather than seeking simple solutions. To improve the financial literacy of the youth there must be combined effort. These include effort from the families, financial institutions, policy makers. It is important to recognize that perception may be as important as knowledge itself.

REFERENCE

Amagir, A., Groot, W., Maassen van den Brink, H., & Wilschut, A. (2018). A review of financial-literacy education programs for children and adolescents. Citizenship, Social and Economics Education, 17(1), 56–80. https://doi.org/10.1177/2047173417719555

Arnett, J. J. (2000). Emerging adulthood: A theory of development from the late teens through the twenties. American Psychologist, 55(5), 469–480. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.5.469

Batty, M., Collins, J. M., & Odders-White, E. (2015). Experimental evidence on the effects of financial education on elementary school students' knowledge, behavior, and attitudes. Journal of Consumer Affairs, 49(1), 69–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.12058

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5(1), 7–74. https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102

Chen, H., & Volpe, R. P. (2002). Gender differences in personal financial literacy among college students. Financial Services Review, 11(3), 289–307.

Collins, J. M., & O'Rourke, C. M. (2010). Financial education and counseling—Still holding promise. Journal of Consumer Affairs, 44(3), 483–498. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2010.01179.x

Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. University of Chicago Legal Forum, 1989(1), 139–167.

de Bassa Scheresberg, C. (2013). Financial literacy and financial behavior among young adults: Evidence and implications. Numeracy, 6(2), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.5038/1936-4660.6.2.5

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., & Ansar, S. (2022). The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial inclusion, digital payments, and resilience in the age of COVID-19. World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1897-4

Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining "gamification." In Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference (pp. 9–15). https://doi.org/10.1145/2181037.2181040

Drever, A. I., Odders-White, E., Kalish, C. W., Else-Quest, N. M., Hoagland, E. M., & Nelms, E. N. (2015). Foundations of financial well-being: Insights into the role of executive function, financial socialization, and experience-based learning in childhood and youth. Journal of Consumer Affairs, 49(1), 13–38. https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.12068

Dunning, D. (2011). The Dunning–Kruger effect: On being ignorant of one's own ignorance. In Advances in Experimental Social Psychology (Vol. 44, pp. 247–296). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385522-0.00005-6

Estonian Ministry of Education and Research. (2021). Digital competence framework for Estonian schools. https://www.hm.ee/en

European Commission. (2022). The Digital Services Act package. https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/digital-services-act-package

Finnish National Agency for Education. (2022). National core curriculum for basic education 2014. https://www.oph.fi/en

Gomber, P., Koch, J. A., & Siering, M. (2017). Digital finance and FinTech: Current research and future research directions. Journal of Business Economics, 87(5), 537–580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11573-017-0852-x

Gudmunson, C. G., & Danes, S. M. (2011). Family financial socialization: Theory and critical review. Journal of Family and Economic Issues, 32(4), 644–667. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-011-9275-y

Hofstede, G. (2001). Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Icelandic Financial Supervisory Authority. (2021). National strategy for financial literacy 2021–2025. https://en.fme.is/

Jack, W., & Suri, T. (2014). Risk sharing and transactions costs: Evidence from Kenya's mobile money revolution. American Economic Review, 104(1), 183–223. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.1.183

Kaiser, T., Lusardi, A., Menkhoff, L., & Urban, C. (2021). Financial education affects financial knowledge and downstream behaviors. Journal of Financial Economics, 145(2), 255–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.09.022

Lusardi, A. (2019). Financial literacy and the need for financial education: Evidence and implications. Swiss Journal of Economics and Statistics, 155(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41937-019-0027-5

Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. Journal of Economic Literature, 52(1), 5–44. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.52.1.5

Lusardi, A., Mitchell, O. S., & Curto, V. (2010).

Financial literacy among the young. Journal of Consumer Affairs, 44(2), 358–380. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2010.01173.x

Lyons, A. C., & Kass-Hanna, J. (2021). Financial inclusion, financial literacy and economically vulnerable populations in the Middle East and North Africa. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 57(9), 2699–2738. https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2019.1598370

Malmendier, U., & Nagel, S. (2011). Depression babies: Do macroeconomic experiences affect risk taking? The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 126(1), 373–416. https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjq004

Money and Pensions Service. (2023). Talk money campaign evaluation report. https://www.moneyandpensionsservice.org.uk/

OECD. (2020). OECD/INFE 2020 international survey of adult financial literacy. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/145f5607-en

OECD. (2020). PISA 2018 results (Volume IV): Are students smart about money? OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/48ebd1ba-en

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., … & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, 372, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71

Payne, E. M., Peltier, J. W., & Barger, V. A. (2021). Enhancing the value co-creation process: Artificial intelligence and mobile banking service platforms. Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing, 15(1), 68–85. https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIM-10-2020-0214

Philippas, N. D., & Avdoulas, C. (2020). Financial literacy and financial well-being among generation-Z university students: Evidence from Greece. The European Journal of Finance, 26(4–5), 360–381. https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2019.1701512

Shanahan, M. J. (2000). Pathways to adulthood in changing societies: Variability and mechanisms in life course perspective. Annual Review of Sociology, 26, 667–692. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.26.1.667

Shim, S., Barber, B. L., Card, N. A., Xiao, J. J., & Serido, J. (2010). Financial socialization of first-year college students: The roles of parents, work, and education. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 39(12), 1457–1470. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-009-9432-x

Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness. Yale University Press.

Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 8, 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45

Van de Vijver, F., & Leung, K. (1997). Methods and data analysis for cross-cultural research. Sage Publications.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

Xiao, J. J., & Porto, N. (2017). Financial education and financial satisfaction: Financial literacy, behavior, and capability as mediators. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 35(5), 805–817. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-01-2016-0009

Xu, L., & Zia, B. (2012). Financial literacy around the world: An overview of the evidence with practical suggestions for the way forward (Policy Research Working Paper No. 6107). World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-6107